

1) Why did you attend this conference?

- Because my paper was accepted 19
- To hear the talks 41
- To meet others working in the field 37
- Other 1

Mainly because my paper was accepted, as lectures have started

2) Was the two-and-a-half day technical format

- Too long 0
- Too short 1
- About right 49

3) How do you rate the technical content of the conference?

- Very good/better than expected 12
- Good/about as expected 32
- Bad/worse than expected 3

4) What topics would you like to see more of

Balance just right

Applications in particular, anomaly intrusion and detection

Wireless measurement

Modelling of inaccuracies introduced by measurement process

Campus networks

Router extensions to support measurement

Tomography, BGP

Application-level characterizations, performance evaluations

Approximations especially network traffic

Change detections

Flow sampling, network security, traffic engineering

Algorithms, approximation

BGP, routing

Assessment of measurements (what they mean)

Routing

More real world applications in terms of lab/DSL customer metrics

Practical use, Experience of real life which tools/techniques

Opportunities for "bake offs"

Poster session

Bandwidth measurements

BGP

Application measurements

Networking issue relevant to the enterprise specifically application, network interactions, performance and utilization

Applications

Measurement tools, experimental

Application characterization

Measurement systems issues, accuracy, scalability etc, characterization of network tools

Reality

BGP and TCP; but only if it is of better quality

Validation/de bunking of prior studies

5) What topics would you like to see less of

Congestion

Keep them all, they flow well together

Routing

Tomography

Routing protocol (ex GBP)

Tomography

Available bandwidth measurement

Traffic Engineering

Unsupported measurement results

(too much “irreproducible results”)

Too theoretical presentations

Route management

Theoretical simulations

TCP, traffic matrices

TCP

TCP

TCP if they are at current quality

6) Was there enough time for questions during talks?

- Yes 33
- Somewhat 16
- No 2

But not enough questions

While all questions got asked, I felt questions were rushed.

7) Was there enough time for discussion with other attendees?

- Yes 43
- Somewhat 7
- No 2

I very much liked the ‘relaxed’ schedule – late start, long lunch, early finish each day. This made for much enjoyed impromptu meetings.

8) Were you satisfied with the facilities at the Palm?

- Yes 40
- Somewhat 11
- No 1
- I would have liked....

The cisco VPN application post to be able to go through the hotel routes (not linksys).

Rooms were expensive

Somewhat expensive

Too expensive

Would have liked cheaper rooms

Broadband/wireless in rooms

Less a/c in my room/conference room – too cold for my taste

Fantastic

Would have liked better meeting rooms

Would have liked the cost to cover parking

- 9) Were you satisfied with the social event?
- Yes 42
 - Somewhat 5
 - No 2

Fabulous as always

- 10) What features would you like to see next year?
- Panels on selected topics 16
 - Tutorials 12
 - Keynote talk 11
 - Mini workshops on selected topics 14
 - Other 3

Maybe one or two just to fill in the third day or the first day morning.

Student-orientated activity

Same format

Exhibition

More than one traffic

Tools workshop – I'm happy with this year's format but wouldn't mind a talk on some topic like 'legal implications of monitoring' or some off-beat topic

No, do exactly the same

- 11) Are you interested in attending IMC in the future?
- Yes 52
 - No (why?)

- 12) If you submitted a paper, let us know what you thought about the quality of the reviews.
- Fair and detailed 16
 - Fair but not enough details 5
 - Unfair review
 - Other

According to my colleague.

Undecided 50/50

- 13) If you have colleagues who decided not to attend IMC this year, do you know why they chose not to?
- Program insufficiently compelling 1
 - Difficulty obtaining visa for entrance into US 4
 - Venue not appealing
 - Cost of travel 8
 - Conflict with other events 6
 - Insufficient publicity (learned about it too late) 1

Other events (eg NANOG/SOSP meet the same time)

Conflict with teaching responsibilities

Very close to SOSP/ICNP

Really more of a 'proximity' issue

14) How do you rate the technical content of IMC compared to past two IMWs?

- Very good (better than expected) 3
- Good (about expected) 13
- Bad (worse than expected) 1
- N/A (did not attend past IMWs) 29

About the same, though perhaps the talk quality was not quite as good this year

15) Please write any additional comments or suggestions.

Position papers next year

If publicity is an issue, you might find some interest in the ZETF/ZRTF communities.

I would just like to see more tutorials and mini workshops.

The conference was excellent.

Most well organised conference I have attended (also the most affordable). Keep up the good work.

The Palm was amazing but lack of high speed internet access in rooms was annoying.

How about exhibition?

Most of participants are from universities

I would like to hear the activities of companies, cost-effective and practical ones

The hotel was too expensive

Papers are very academic – industry perspective on this implications would be interesting

Coming from a business, rather than a research perspective, I would like to see more applications for techniques applied to real/customer networks:

Security uses

Customer QoS

Network Efficiency

Customer Flow Analysis

PZP

Online Games

HTTP

First giving a student travel money then compelling him to look for a hotel which would cause him to pay quite a bit more than the given money is not a good idea.

It would have been more fitting to only give out flight costs and do the room reservation en block.

Great Stuff. Mic was (unfortunately) crumby/broken/poor

Nice conference. Methodology papers were particularly interesting. Nice to have a venue for them.

Great team of researchers. Hope corporations continue to foster and cultivate this type of research. We're at the start of something quite significant.

We need more seats (and more power plug)

I'd like to see some papers about wireless network measurement.

Improve audio

Have some panels to look into big pictures impact of measurements on other things (design?); where are we heading to? What are our challenges?

I received several papers for IMC03 but was not listed on the reviewer list. Not a big deal, but would be nice to be acknowledged.