













**Figure 4. False positive probability of Bloom filter aggregation.**

Figure 4 shows the false positive probability  $p_f$  as a function of the number of aggregated elements  $n$  in the filter and the filter size  $m$ , assuming an optimal value of  $k$  is used. The probability of false positives decreases as  $m$  increases, and increases as  $n$  increases. Note that sOIDs are only used in the content location publishing process, and are not carried in data packets. Thus there is great flexibility in designing the filter length to meet the requirements of false positive probability and the maximum number of elements to be aggregated in a filter. For example, 1000 suffix elements can be inserted in a 1024-byte long Bloom filter to generate a digest of the suffixes in an sOID (reducing the number of OID routing states by 1000 times), but the false positive probability is no more than  $3 \times 10^{-4}$ .

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper proposes SMVDHT, a new name resolution and routing framework, which uses a combination of name aggregation and multi-level virtual DHTs to improve ICN scalability. A content router can publish both the prefix and the digest of suffixes to reduce the size and update overhead of name resolution tables, while relieving the “suffix-hole” problem encountered in traditional prefix-based aggregation. New protocols are designed to efficiently resolve the aggregated names and forward a request to the closest copy of content via multi-level virtual DHTs. For future work, we plan to prototype the proposed system and conduct extensive experiments to evaluate its performance and compare it with other name-based routing and resolution schemes.

## 7. REFERENCES

[1] T. Koponen, et al., “A Data-Oriented (and Beyond) Network Architecture,” SIGCOMM ’07, 2007, pp. 181–92.

[2] V. Jacobson et al., “Networking Named Content,” CoNEXT ’09, New York, NY, 2009, pp. 1–12.

[3] <http://www.fp7-pursuit.eu/PursuitWeb/>.

[4] Scalable and Adaptive Internet Solutions (SAIL). <http://www.sail-project.eu/>.

[5] D. Cheriton and M. Gritter, “TRIAD: A New Next-Generation Internet Architecture,” Technical report, January 2000.

[6] K. Visala, et al., “An Inter-Domain Data-Oriented Routing Architecture,” Workshop on Rearchitecting the Internet, 2009.

[7] M. D’Ambrosio, C. Dannewitz, H. Karl, V. Vercellone, “MDHT: A Hierarchical Name Resolution Service for Information-centric Networks,” SIGCOMM Workshop on ICN, 2011.

[8] D. Raychaudhuri, “MobilityFirst Vision & Technical Approach Summary,” MobilityFirst External Advisory Board Meeting, Feb 2011.

[9] A. Ghodsi, et al., “Information-Centric Networking: Seeing the Forest for the Trees,” HotNet 2011.

[10] J. F. Gantz, et al., “The diverse and exploding digital universe: An updated forecast of worldwide information growth through 2011,” IDC White Paper, March 2008.

[11] A. Broder and M. Mitzenmacher, “Network Applications of Bloom Filters: A Survey,” Internet Mathematics, Vol. 1. No. 4, PP. 485-509, 2005.

[12] I. Stoica, et al, “Chord: a scalable peer-to-peer lookup service for Internet applications,” SIGCOMM 2001.

[13] A. Mislove and P. Druschel, “Providing administrative control and autonomy in peer-to-peer overlays,” IPTPS’04 workshop, 2004.

[14] P. Ganesan, K. Gummadi, H. Garcia-Molina, “Canon in G major: designing DHTs with hierarchical structure,” ICDCS, March 2004.

[15] A. Gupta, B. Liskov, and R. Rodrigues, “Efficient Routing for Peer-to-Peer Overlays,” NSDI, March 2004.