Procera: A Language for High-Level Reactive Network Control

#### Andreas Voellmy Hyojoon Kim Nick Feamster

Yale University, Georgia Tech, University of Maryland

August 13, 2012

## Static & Dynamic Network Policies

Network operators want to implement their high-level network policies.

Static policies; constrain flow based on flow tuple and state.

- ▶ Superusers can access the network.
- ▶ Critical flows should have minimum bandwidth guaranteed.
- ▶ Guests can access the network daily between 9am and 5pm.

Dynamic policies; involve describing state change:

- Only authenticated devices can access the network and device authentications expire after 60 minutes.
- ▶ If a user's 5 day average exceeds the limit, turn off their access, permanently.

Two Approaches Available Today

General-purpose programming:

- ► Very expressive.
- Many details to program
- ► Easy to mix up code implementing high-level concepts with low-level code.

ション ふゆ マ キャット マックシン

Specialized policy language, e.g. Flow Management Language (FML)

- ► Easy to use.
- ▶ Limited to static policies.

Today's Approaches: FML in Detail

E.g. define and allow superusers:

```
allow(Us,Hs,As,Ut,Ht,At,Prot,Req) <- superuser(Us).
superuser(todd).
superuser(michelle).</pre>
```

FML policy is *static*: it determines a function from states to flow constraints, but cannot specify what the states are or how they should change.

## Procera: High-Level Reactive Network Control

Declarative language that allows users to define what the states are and how the system state changes in response to events.

Key elements:

- 1. Primitive events
- 2. Constructs for programming dynamic state; these maintain state *incrementally* in reaction to events.
- 3. Composition operators
- 4. Constructs that collect incremental changes into values such as sets, bags, and dictionaries.
- 5. Policy function expressed as a function of state and flow tuple and outputting flow constraints.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The collection of primitive event streams is customizable.

For a sample application we have:

- ► authEvents: authentication events consist of (device, user) pairs.
- ► usageEvents: usage events consist of (device, usage) pairs.
- ► *capSetEvents*: cap settings consist of (device, usage) pairs.

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう ふしつ

▶ *adminResetEvents*: admin resets consist of device ids.

60 second sliding window:

since 60

Input and Output is incremental, e.g.:

Input

▶ at time 0: insert a

Output:

▶ at time 0: insert a

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

60 second sliding window:

since 60

Input and Output is incremental, e.g.:

Input

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b

► Output:

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b

60 second sliding window:

since 60

#### Input and Output is incremental, e.g.:

Input

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b
- ▶ at time 50: insert c
- ► Output:
  - ▶ at time 0: insert a
  - ▶ at time 30: insert b
  - ▶ at time 50: insert c

60 second sliding window:

since 60

#### Input and Output is incremental, e.g.:

Input

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b
- ▶ at time 50: insert c
- ► Output:
  - ▶ at time 0: insert a
  - ▶ at time 30: insert b
  - ▶ at time 50: insert c
  - ▶ at time 60: delete a

60 second sliding window:

since 60

Input and Output is incremental, e.g.:

Input

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b
- ▶ at time 50: insert c
- ▶ at time 70: insert d

Output:

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b
- ▶ at time 50: insert c
- ▶ at time 60: delete a
- ▶ at time 70: insert d

60 second sliding window:

since 60

Input and Output is incremental, e.g.:

Input

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b
- ▶ at time 50: insert c
- ▶ at time 70: insert d

Output:

- ▶ at time 0: insert a
- ▶ at time 30: insert b
- ▶ at time 50: insert c
- ▶ at time 60: delete a
- ▶ at time 70: insert d
- ▶ at time 90: delete b

## 2. Incremental State Programming, Continued

Further incremental state operators:

- ▶ Reset on Clock: resetWindow clockFun
- ▶ Limit by count: *limitBy attr count*
- ▶ Filtering: *select pred*
- Projecting: project f
- ▶ Grouping: group With op
- ▶ Joining: join, joinOn attr1 attr2

#### 3. Composition Operators

Operations can be composed, e.g.

since  $(days \ 5) \gg limitBy \ attr \ 10$ 



# 4. Accumulate Incremental State

Operators to collect incremental state signal into a data structure:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- ▶ collectSequence
- ► collectBag
- $\blacktriangleright$  collectSet
- $\blacktriangleright$  collectTable

Policy function implemented as a function that references the state and outputs a *constraint*, e.g.:

ション ふゆ マ キャット マックシン

policy overSet pkt =
 if member (etherSrc pkt) overSet
 then Deny
 else Allow

#### Putting it all Together

Deny any devices whose five day usage exceeds 1000.

 $usageEvents \\ \implies insertEach \\ \implies since (days 5) \\ \implies groupWith sum \\ \implies select (\lambda(dev, usage) \rightarrow usage > 1000) \\ \implies project fst \\ \implies collectSet \\ \implies pure policy$ 

Language designed to support efficient evaluation:

- Eliminate need to poll policy by accurately tracking the maximum amount of time until the state changes.
- ▶ Update state incrementally based on events and policy definition.
- Old events are deleted automatically when no state refers to the event anymore.

## Next Steps

- Implement network controller; must be in implemented in host language that Procera is embedded in.
- ▶ Provide richer constraints, e.g. allow and encrypt, allow but avoid switch A, etc.
- ► Address fault tolerance: automated support for persisting controller state.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• Optimize incremental change algorithms.

### Conclusions

- ▶ Procera is a language for writing dynamic network policies.
- Keeps flow constraints (as in FML) but adds ability to specify state and state changes.
- ► Implementation takes care of details of tracking policy change correctly and efficiently.

Questions?

and reas. voellmy @yale.edu