

Cyber Research Ethics Decision Support (CREDS) Tool

Erin Kenneally
University of California San Diego
9500 Gilman Dr
La Jolla, CA USA 92093
858.822.0991
erin@caida.org

Marina Fomenkov
University of California San Diego
9500 Gilman Dr.
La Jolla, CA 92093
858.534.5000
marina@caida.org

ABSTRACT¹

This work introduces an applied research and development project, the Cyber Research Ethics Decision Support (CREDS) tool. This effort is motivated to operationalize a decision support methodology, conceptual framework, and an interactive online tool to identify, reason, and manage ethical and legal issues related to cyber-based research (e.g., network and system security). The objectives of the tool are to facilitate research that minimizes potential harm while enabling innovation, and to advance the collective dialogue between and among researchers, oversight entities and policymakers about research ethics principles and practices. The functional goals include: estimating and communicating ethical risk; identifying potential impacts of technology; and measuring and improving judgment and reasoning. The methodology involves deriving principles and practices from established law, ethics and best practices, and then using that output to drive the underlying logic of the tool. The CREDS tool is intended to be a resource for the entire community to engage repeatable and transparent decision making in an effort to prevent unattended harm, diminished public trust, and reputational blowback by association arising from undifferentiated comparisons to public or private surveillance and cyber opportunism.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

K.4.1 [Public Policy Issues]: Privacy, Ethics;
K.5.0 [LEGAL ASPECTS OF COMPUTING]: General

General Terms

Legal Aspects; Security; Theory

Keywords

Security research; network research; ethics; law; risk

1. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is made possible with the support of the Department of Homeland Security, Cyber Security Research and Development Division, Cooperative Agreement FA8750-12-2-0326.

2. REFERENCES

- [1] Kenneally, E. and Dittrich, D. (eds.). 2012. The Menlo Report: Ethical Principles Guiding Information and Communication Technology Research. <https://www.predict.org/Portals/0/Documents/Menlo-Report.pdf>.
- [2] Kenneally, E. and Dittrich, D. (eds.). Applying Ethical Principles to Information and Communication Technology Research: A Companion to the Menlo Report. 2013. <https://predict.org/Portals/0/Documents/Menlo-Report-Companion.pdf>.
- [3] Michael Bailey, Erin Kenneally, and David Dittrich. A Refined Ethical Impact Assessment Tool and a Case Study of its Application. In *Workshop on Ethics in Computer Security Research (WECSR '12)*, Bonaire, Netherlands, 2012.
- [4] Erin Kenneally, Michael Bailey, and Douglas Maughan. A Tool for Understanding and Applying Ethical Principles in Network and Security Research. In *Workshop on Ethics in Computer Security Research (WECSR '10)*, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, January 2010.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

NS Ethics '15, August 21, 2015, London, United Kingdom.

ACM 978-1-4503-3541-6/15/08.

© 2015. Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2793013.2793017>